

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,856	12/13/2001	Mitchell H. Lindsay	CH2M.04/CIP	5199
25871 7590 03/27/2003				
SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C. 1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE SUITE 330			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, DUANE	
HIGHLANDS RANCH, CO 80129			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	3
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

文	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·	10/021,856	LINDSAY ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Duane S. Smith	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/021,856

Art Unit: 1724

الم در

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3,4,7-8,10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trahan et al(US Patent No.5,634,962).

Trahan et al disclose an apparatus and method for treating volatile organic compound(col. 2 line 42) including a recycle gas stream circuit(10,22), VOC source(T), scrubbing liquid circuit(26,16), adsorber(S), and separating means(32) for VOC/scrubbing liquid. Trahan et al does not disclose a heat exchanger in the scrubber liquid circuit. However, such are well known in the art to regulate the temperature of the scrubber liquid to provide optimum temperature to efficiently separate the VOC/scrubbing liquid in the separator and to enhance the adsorption of the VOC from the gas stream into the scrubbing liquid in the adsorber as shown by Anderson(66,42,44,68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a heat exchanger in Trahan et al in order to provide temperature control to optimize separation and adsorbtion of VOC.

3. Claims 2,5,6, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,856

Art Unit: 1724

· 6· ·

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record teach nor suggest the claimed features of claims2,5,6, and 9 in combination with the features of the independent claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hewitt, Nichols, Knowles, Aubrey, Yamase et al, Sudduth, and Parker Sr. disclose similar apparatus and methods.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duane S. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

1, 40 m Application/Control Number: 10/021,856

Art Unit: 1724

Duane S. Smith Primary Examiner

dss March 21, 2003 Art Unit 1724

3-21-03